Conditional Multi-task learning for Plant Disease Identification



Les Sue Han¹, Hervé Goëau², Pierre Bonnet², Alexis Joly³ Swinburne University of Technology Sarawak Campus, Jalan Simpang Tiga, 93350, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia¹ CIRAD, UMR AMAP, Montpellier, France² INRIA, Montpellier, France³

Introduction

Plant diseases are a major threat to agricultural production, causing a severe food recession and affecting crop quality.

Problem statement:

🖉 cirad

agropolis fondation

- Existing approaches have been based on a method whereby the size of the network gradually increases as new classes are added, even if plant species or disease information is already available.
- It is not practical in a real application, especially to collect a complete set of images illustrating ٠ each species-disease pair.

Objective: To explore an alternative way of using the multitasking learning (MTL) mechanism in the identification of plant disease, replacing the traditional modeling of species-disease pairs.

Contributions:

- To show that our proposed conditional multi-task learning resulted in a better performance in plant disease classification compared to the usual CNN modelling approach.
- To compose a new dataset that covers the largest host species and diseases. •

Dataset and Experimental Setting

Dataset:

• A new plant disease identification dataset that covers the largest number of host species and diseases was composed by aggregating images from selected sources with verified labels.



In exact, we have 1145 joint species-disease classes, 311 host species and 289 diseases.

Dataset	Number of training data	Number of testing data	 [1] Mohanty, Sharada P., Dav Marcel Salathé. "Using deep I based plant disease detection. science 7 (2016): 1419. 	
PV [1]	594	146		
Digipathos [2]	1668	345	[2] Barbedo, Jayme Garci "Annotated plant pathology da based detection and diseases." <i>IEEE Latin America</i> (2018): 1749-1757.	
IPM ¹	4522	812		
Pl@ntnet	3540	663		
Total	10324	1966	¹ https://www.ipmimages.org/	







Experimental setting:

- Our network is based on Inception-V3, and the final activation layer were modified according to the different CMTL strategies proposed, as explained below.
- Models are formed using the Tensorflow framework and trained using an NVIDIA GTX1080 graphics card. The mini-batch size used is 45.



Methodology – Conditional Multi-Task Learning (CMTL)



- To model two main concepts/tasks which are host species and diseases through multi-task learning, and each concept is represented by its own feature distribution but they are strongly linked by a specific conditional structure.
- This structured process corresponds to the way plant pathologists use species information to infer the associated diseases.









Conditional feature fusion scheme: (a) Sum (FFS) and (b) Cascade (FFC) fusion, and (c) Conditional feature-wise linear modulation (FiLM). The identical mapping which is introduced to enrich the features of the disease layer is illustrated with dotted line.

Discussion and Conclusion

Discussion:

- Multi-task learning approach is more effective than the usual CNN modeling method (the joint net) for plant disease identification (Table 1).
- We could not conclude which CMTL-based approaches perform best as each approach achieved the highest accuracy in different datasets (Table 3).
- The dataset could serve as a benchmark for researchers working in the same fields.

Future direction:

- To address the problem of class imbalance.
- To detect potential unknown classes of diseases and species.

Our related publications:

- Lee, Sue Han, et al. "New perspectives on plant disease characterization based on deep learning." Computers and Electronics in Agriculture 170 (2020): 105220.
- Lee, Sue Han, et al. "Attention based Recurrent Neural Network for Plant Disease Classification." In Press, Front. Plant Sci., https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.601250

Performance Comparisons

Table 1: Classification results of different model configuration Configuration **Conjoint prediction** Disease Host species 82.96 78.64 **Conditional FFS-res** 69.43 67.34 77.52 Conditional FFS 81.59 77.67 **Conditional FFC-res** 68.06 81.84 Conditional FFS 67.19 81.38 77.11 68.01 82.15 76.91 **Conditional FiLM-res** 68.62 82.86 Conditional FiLM 77.16 82.76 Generic MTL 68.16 76.96 68.67 82.25 78.48 Single nets 68.82 82.15 76.70 Joint net Fashion net [3] 66.48 81.49 76.09

[3] W. Wang, Y. Xu, J. Shen, and S.-C. Zhu, "Attentive fashion grammar network for fashion landmark detection and clothing category classification," in Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2018, pp

le 2: Classification results of the conditional FFS-res approach for different knowledge distribution							
Configuration	Conj	Conjoint prediction		e Host	Host species		
Conditional FFS-res		69.43		7	78.64		
Conditional FFS	68.51		82.81	7	77.01		
Table 3: The conjoint prediction from different model configurations on each dataset							
Configuration	PV	Digipathos	IPM	Pl@ntNet	INRAE		
Conditional FFS-res	93.84	85.80	64.16	61.99	8.76		
Conditional FFS	91.10	86.09	61.70	59.28	16.49		
Conditional FFC-res	94.52	86.38	61.82	60.33	16.24		
Conditional FFS	94.52	84.64	60.47	60.33	13.40		
Conditional FiLM-res	91.78	85.22	63.67	59.13	7.99		
Conditional FiLM	93.15	83.77	65.27	59.43	9.28		
Generic MTL	93.15	85.51	62.68	60.33	9.54		
Single nets	93.84	84.35	63.18	61.69	2.06		
Joint net	91.10	85.51	65.02	59.88	6.96		
Fashion net [3]	90.41	84.64	61.70	57.62	13.40		