

## GAN-based Gaussian Mixture Model Responsibility Learning

Wanming Huang, UTS; Richard Yi Da Xu, UTS; Shuai Jiang, UYS; Xuan Liang, UTS; Ian Oppermann, NSW Data Analytics Center

#### MOTIVATION

- 1. Modern datasets often contains multiple unlabelled modes
- 2. Gaussian Mixture Model modes such datasets
- 3. Important statistics can be retrieved, e.g., soft clustering membership, weights of each component

# ARCHITECTURE $2 \xrightarrow{x_i} \xrightarrow{p_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K}}} \xrightarrow{p_{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{K}}} \xrightarrow{2.26} \xrightarrow$

4. However, complex and high dimensional data, such as images, does not

form mixtures naturally in their raw forms





Low-dimensional data clustering

#### High-dimensional data clustering

AIM

- 1. Transform the data x into its latent representation z deterministically
- 2. Model *z* with Gaussian Mixture

A natural choice is variational auto-encoder, however, VAEs often lead to



#### RESULTS

 $\bullet$ 

• Performance on highly imbalanced dataset







Proposed

Vanilla GAN

Gaussian mixture GAN w/o PCM

Linear interpolation over 3 modes

blur images

- Generative adversarial networks
- $\succ$  Posterior consistency module (PCM) that maps x to z

### **POSTERIOR CONSISTENCY MODULE**

- 1. Returns softmax outputs  $\widehat{w} = (\widehat{w}_1, \cdots, \widehat{w}_K)$
- 2. Feature encoding is shared with the discriminator
- 3. Makes 2 comparisons
  - $p(k|\hat{x},\theta) \& p(k|x,\theta)$
  - $p(k|\hat{x},\theta) \& p(k|z,\theta) =$

$$\left(\frac{N(Z|\mu_1,\sigma_1)}{\sum_{k=1}^K N(Z|\mu_k,\sigma_k)},\cdots,\frac{N(Z|\mu_K,\sigma_K)}{\sum_{k=1}^K N(Z|\mu_k,\sigma_k)}\right)$$

4. Loss functions:

- $\mathcal{L}^{\hat{x},z} = \mathbb{E}_{\{z_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \Sigma_1), \cdots, z_K \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_K, \Sigma_K)\}} \left[\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^K I(p(k|z_k, \theta), C_{PCM}^{\theta}(\hat{x}^k))\right]$
- $\mathcal{L}^{\hat{x},x} = \mathbb{E}_{x_i \sim p_{data}} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{K} I(C_{PCM}^{\theta}(x_i), C_{PCM}^{\theta}(\hat{x})) \right]$

0	0	0	0	0	Э	2	2	2	2	- AAAAAA
0	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	2	2	aaaaaa
0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	SEELE
0	0	0	0	S	3	2	2	2	2	
0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
2	ð	2	)	2	2	2	2	2	2	
1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	
1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	
1	1	1	1	1	2	2.	2	2	2	

	22	22	20	2			S	S		3
		22					ay .	A.	A.	
	22	32	32				<u>A</u>			
Ā	22	22					A	A	A	.4
Ā							A.	A		
Ā							A	A	A	
Ā								A		
Ä										
	A	Á								
		H								
Contract of the local division of the local	2	24		and a second	124	1	100			

Image quality

	number of parameters	Inception Score ↑	FID score $\downarrow$
Proposed (encoding not shared)	13,005,411	$2.9664 \pm 0.2188$	$231.0577 \pm 7.5371$
<b>Proposed</b> (encoding shared)	8,794,835	$\textbf{3.1368} \pm \textbf{0.1596}$	$\textbf{205.9776} \pm \textbf{7.8587}$
GM-GAN	8,467,145	$2.6770 \pm 0.1079$	$239.3936 \pm 6.7672$
Vanilla GAN	8,366,145	$2.4882 \pm 0.1065$	$247.0610 \pm 7.2361$

#### CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The latent space of GAN is modelled by Gaussian mixture
- 2. a posterior consistency module was innovated to help the model to better

•  $I(X;Y) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_{(X,Y)}(x,y) \log(\frac{p_{(X,Y)}(x,y)}{p_X(x)p_Y(y)})$ 

#### GAN

During training, *K* samples are generated from *K* modes, weights of each are measured by PCM

$$\mathcal{L}^{adversarial} = \mathbb{E}_{x_i \sim p_{data}} \left( \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} p(k|x_i, \theta) \times (\log D(x_i) + \log(1 - D(\hat{x}^k))) \right)$$

approximate GMM's responsibility distribution

#### References

- M. Ben-Yosef and D. Weinshall, "Gaussian mixture generative adversarial networks for diverse datasets, and the unsupervised clustering of images," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1808.10356, 2018. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1808.10356
- N. Dilokthanakul, P. A. M. Mediano, M. Garnelo, M. C. H. Lee, H. Salimbeni, K. Arulkumaran, and M. Shanahan, "Deep unsupervised clustering with gaussian mixture variational autoencoders," *CoRR*, vol. abs/1611.02648, 2016. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1611.02648