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## Abstract

The problem of uncovering different dynamical regimes is of pivotal importance in time series analysis. Switching dynamical systems provide a solution for modeling physical phenomena whose time series data exhibit different dynamical modes. In this work we propose a novel variational RNN model for switching dynamics allowing for both non-Markovian and non-linear dynamical behavior between and within dynamic modes. Attention mechanisms are provided to inform the switching distribution. We evaluate our model on synthetic and empirical datasets of diverse nature and successfully uncover different dynamical regimes and predict the switching dynamics.

## Motivation

Switching linear dynamical system models aim to capture complex (non-linear) time series behaviour via a collection of so-called dynamical modes, each of which is approximated by a linear model [1, 2]. In short, one assumes that at each time step $t$ there is a corresponding categorical latent state $z_{t}$ taking one of $K$ different values and following the Markovian transitions

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t+1} \mid z_{t} \sim \pi_{z_{t}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\pi_{z_{t}} \in[0,1]^{K}$ gives the usual Markov transition probabilities. The classical approach [1, 2] also introduces the continuous latent states $\mathrm{h}_{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ - these follow affine dynamics, with the different modes being indexed by $z_{t}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{t+1}=\mathrm{A}_{z_{t+1}} \mathrm{~h}_{t}+\mathrm{b}_{z_{t+1}}+\mathrm{v}_{t}, \quad \mathrm{v}_{t} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathrm{Q}_{z_{t+1}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{k}, Q_{k}$ are matrices of the form $\mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ whereas $\mathrm{b}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{p}$ and
$k \in(1, \ldots, K)$. At last, the observed data points $x_{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ are obtained via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{t}=\mathrm{C}_{z_{\mathrm{t}}} \mathrm{~h}_{t}+\mathrm{d}_{z_{t}}+\mathrm{w}_{t}, \quad \mathrm{w}_{t} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \mathrm{~S}_{z_{t+1}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathrm{C}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times p}, \mathrm{~S}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and the drift terms $\mathrm{d}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$.

## Neural Variational Switching Dynamical Systems

## Generative Model

- Switching Dynamics (LSTM) $\mathrm{h}_{t}^{s}=f_{\theta_{s}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{t}, \mathrm{~h}_{t-1}^{s}\right)$
- Switching Probabilities $\pi_{t}^{k}=\operatorname{softmax}\left[g_{\theta_{k}}\left(h_{t-1}^{s}\right)\right]$
- Switching Prior $\quad p\left(z_{t}\right)=\prod_{k=1}^{K}\left(\pi_{t}^{k}\right)^{z_{t}^{k}}$
- Emission Parameters $\quad\left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{t}^{k}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{t}^{k}\right]=g_{\varphi_{k}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{t-1}^{k}\right)$
- Emission Probabilitites $p\left(x_{t}^{k} \mid x_{<t}^{k}\right)=\mathcal{N}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{t}^{k}, \operatorname{diag}\left[\left(\sigma_{t}^{k}\right)^{2}\right]\right)$
- Modes Dynamics $h_{t}^{k}=f_{\varphi_{k}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{t}, \mathrm{~h}_{t-1}^{k}\right)$


## Joint Distribution

$$
p\left(z_{\leq T}, x_{\leq T}\right)=\prod_{k=1}^{K} \prod_{t=1}^{T}\left(\pi_{t}^{k} p\left(x_{t}^{k} \mid x_{<t}^{k}\right)\right)^{z_{t}^{k}}
$$



Architecture of the NVSDS model. $x_{t}$ the data is fed into the recurrent network modeling the modes dynamics and switching dynamics (upper part). The representations $h$ obtained by the experts' dynamics are fed into an MLPs parametrizing a Gaussian distribution for the outputs $\hat{X}_{t}$.

## Inference

- Approximate Posterior $\quad q\left(z_{t} \mid x_{\leq t}\right)=\prod_{k=1}^{M}\left(\rho_{t}^{k}\right)^{z_{t}^{k}}$
- Attention Representation $\mathrm{u}_{k}=\sigma\left(\mathrm{W}_{k} \mathrm{H}_{t}+\mathrm{V}_{k} \mathrm{~h}_{t}^{s}+\mathrm{b}_{k}\right)$
- Prediction and Hidden States $H_{t}=\left(\left[\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{t}^{1}, \mathrm{~h}_{t}^{1}\right],\left[\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{t}^{2}, \mathrm{~h}_{t}^{2}\right], \ldots,\left[\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{t}^{K}, \mathrm{~h}_{t}^{K}\right]\right)$
- Modes POsterior Probabilities $\quad \rho_{k}^{t}=\operatorname{softmax}\left[\mathrm{u}_{k} \cdot \mathrm{c}_{k}\right]$ ELBO

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}[q]=\mathbb{E}_{p_{D}(x)}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left\{\rho_{t}^{k} \log p\left(x_{t}^{k} \mid x_{<t}^{k}\right)+\rho_{t}^{k} \log \left[\frac{\pi_{t}^{k}}{\rho_{t}^{k}}\right]\right\}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Mode Regularization

We enforce dynamical diversity by imposing cost functions to be trained along side the maximization of the lower bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}[\rho]=-\mathbb{E}_{p_{D}(\mathrm{x})} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \tilde{\rho}_{k} \log \tilde{\rho}_{k}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_{k}$ is the time-average posterior class probabilities. We maximize then $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}[q]=\mathcal{L}[q]+\lambda_{e} \mathcal{H}[\rho]$, where $\lambda_{e}$ is a hyperparameter.

## Experiments

Lorenz Attractor It is defined by a coupled system of non-linear equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\sigma(y-x),  \tag{6}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}=x(\rho-z)-y, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} z}{\mathrm{~d} t}=x y-\beta z .
\end{align*}
$$



## Switching Oscillatory Dynamics



It is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=H\left(\cos \left(\omega_{s} t\right)<0\right) \cos \left(\omega_{1} t\right)+\left[1-H\left(\cos \left(\omega_{s} t\right)<0\right)\right] \cos \left(\omega_{2} t\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $H$ is the Heaviside function and $\omega_{s}<\omega_{2}<\omega_{1}$
We asses these observations quantitatively by defining a binary target vector $\hat{\rho}$ indicating the dynamical mode which is present at a particular time-step. Evaluating the mean squared error between the predicted $\rho$ and $\hat{\rho}$ yields a dissection error, which we average over multiple trials (different initial conditions). The NVSDS (0.09) and the NVSDS-EM (0.1) clearly outperform R-k-Means (0.26), rSLDS (0.4) and MoE (0.47).

## Handwrittig

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { had the slightest effect. Nom wo } \\
& 20 \text { minutes of discussion ss behoved I } \\
& \text { archivists of Whrumak's Conven' } \\
& \text { her. "My darling the says son } \\
& \text { ". Mi, Mi }
\end{aligned}
$$

Dissection of a handwriting signal for the NVSDS model for different sequences. The lower row shows particular letters from the complete sequences for easier comparison

## Conclusion

- In the present work we have provided a neural network solution to the problem of switching dynamical systems (SDS).
- We build upon variational approximate inference for the categorical variables indexing of the dynamical modes.
- We incorporate an attention mechanism for the switching procedure.
- We incorporate an entropy regularizer to improve the detection of the modes.
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