

Introduction:

- 3D point object detection takes the point cloud as input and outputs the 3D bounding boxes and semantic classes of objects.
- \succ Due to the sparse and unstructured nature of point clouds, encoding fine semantics and global context information are important for predicting the bounding boxes and its class



Input Point Cloud

Output 3D Bounding Box



• The overall framework:

- In Fig.2, the input point cloud is feed into feature encoder to extract high-level features. Then, Vote Feature Generation module generates the vote features from the encoded features.
- > To enhance vote features, **Semantic Feature Generation** module generates features with rich semantic information and **Semantic Aware** module combines it into the vote feature;
- > Object-sencetive Feature Generation module outputs the object sensitive features that are used to aggregate the context for vote features by **Context Aggregation** module.
- Finally, the Detection From Vote module leverages the enhanced vote features to localize and classify the objects.

Enhanced Vote Network for 3D Object Detection in Point Clouds Min Zhong, Gang Zeng Key Laboratory on Machine Perception, Peking University

- Feature Enhancement
- Semantic Aware. Semantic Feature Generation module generates semantic aware feature with a semantic segmentation loss, and Semantic Aware module add it to the vote feature to obtain the semantic-aware vote feature.
- Context Aggregation. we learn object-sensitive embedding with a discriminative loss which encourages points belong to the same object to lie close with each other, otherwise, lie far away from each other. Then the Context Aggregation Module produces an attention map use the embeddings and applies to the vote feature to aggregate context information.



Experiments: Compare with other methods.

	Input	mAP@0.25	mAP@0.5
DSS [4]	Geo + RGB	15.2	6.8
MRCNN 2D-3D [2]	Geo + RGB	17.3	10.5
F-PointNet [8]	Geo + RGB	19.8	10.8
GSPN [30]	Geo + RGB	30.6	17.7
3D-SIS [5]	Geo + 1 view	35.1	18.7
3D-SIS [5]	Geo + 3 views	36.6	19.0
3D-SIS [5]	Geo + 5 views	40.2	22.5
3D-SIS [5]	Geo only	25.4	14.6
VoteNet	Geo only	58.6	33.5
Ours	Geo only	60.3	36.8

Tab. 1: Compare with other methods on SUN RGB-D dataset

Ablation studies on two modules.

Method	+Context	+Semantic	mAP
Baseline			58.6
C-Module	\checkmark		59.5
S-Module		\checkmark	60.0
Full	\checkmark	\checkmark	60.3

Tab. 2: Ablation studies. C is Context Aggravation Module. S is Semantic-aware Feature Module.

\succ Qualitative results.







RGB

Fig. 4: Qualitative results on SUN RGB-D