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Overview

The task of person re-identification (re-ID) is to retrieve images of a specified individual in a large non-overlapping multi-camera database, also called gallery, given a query person of interest.

Face anonymization is needed to preserve privacy and to comply with GDPR.

Models and Datasets

We apply a face detection and blurring algorithm to create anonymized versions of several popular person re-identification datasets including Market1501, DukeMTMC-reID, CUHK03, Viper, and Airport.

We benchmark several state-of-the-art person re-ID models such as PCB, MLFN, HACNN, Resnet50mid, MuDeep, and BoT.

The figure above shows selected examples of original (first row) and our anonymized (second row) images from the Market1501 re-ID dataset.

To anonymize the data we first apply the TinyFaces face detector and then blur the detected region with a large kernel Gaussian to remove all privacy-sensitive information. The effectiveness of this approach was manually verified.

Experimental Results

Extensive experiments on multiple datasets showed that face anonymization surprisingly only has a very slight impact on performance, and that this slight impact can be mostly recovered by training on anonymized data. The graphic below shows mAP and Rank1 for Market1501.

We also investigate how different types of face de-identification impact re-ID performance. The table below shows the results. This experiment focused on the state-of-the-art BoT model and the Market1501 dataset. All methods gave similar results, with blur and pixelate being slightly superior.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Face anon. tech.</th>
<th>mAP</th>
<th>Rank1</th>
<th>mAP</th>
<th>Rank1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blur</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>95.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeno</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>94.6</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>94.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blasik</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>93.8</td>
<td>95.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picelic</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>95.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impaint</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>93.6</td>
<td>95.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The graphic below shows mAP and Rank1 for Market1501.

Example of the different face anonymization techniques used

Conclusion

The study empirically showed that the effect of blurring faces on the person re-identification performance is surprisingly small and that the relative performance of different state-of-the-art methods is preserved after anonymization. This implies that new Re-ID algorithms can be safely compared using anonymized data, which could potentially pave the way for the release of larger anonymized datasets.
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