

# **T-SVD BASED NON-CONVEX TENSOR COMPLETION AND ROBUST PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS**



# TAO LI, JINWEN MA

#### ABSTRACT

Tensor completion and robust principal component analysis have been widely used in machine learning while the key problem relies on the minimization of a tensor rank that is very challenging. A common way to tackle this difficulty is to approximate the tensor rank with the  $\ell_1$ -norm of singular values based on its Tensor Singular Value Decomposition (T-SVD). Besides, the sparsity of a tensor is also measured by its  $\ell_1$ -norm. However, the  $\ell_1$  penalty is essentially biased and thus the result will deviate. In order to sidestep the bias, we propose a novel non-convex tensor rank surrogate function and a novel non-convex sparsity measure. In this new setting by using the concavity instead of the convexity, a majorization minimization algorithm is further designed for tensor completion and robust principal component analysis. Furthermore, we analyze its theoretical properties. Finally, the experiments on natural and hyperspectral images demonstrate the efficacy and efficiency of our proposed method.

# RESULTS

|                               | TENSOR         | COMPLET        | TION PERI      | FORMANCE       | ES EVALUA      | TABL<br>ATION ON | E I<br>NATURAL   | IMAGES U       | JNDER VA       | RYING SA                                      | MPLING R         | ATES.          |                |
|-------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Method                        | 20%            |                |                | 40%            |                |                  | 60%              |                |                | 80%   |                  |                | time (         |
|                               | PSNR           | SSIM           | FSIM           | PSNR           | SSIM           | FSIM             | PSNR             | SSIM           | FSIM           | PSNR  | SSIM             | FSIM           |                |
| SiLRTC                        | 23.59          | 0.798          | 0.822          | 27.987         | 0.899          | 0.915            | 32.24            | 0.951          | 0.964          | 37.47   | 0.977            | 0.988          | 19.95          |
| HaLRTC                        | 23.82          | 0.797          | 0.828          | 28.39          | 0.902          | 0.920            | 33.038           | 0.953          | 0.968          | 39.27   | 0.978            | 0.991          | 31.32          |
| FBCP                          | 24.08          | 0.668          | 0.794          | 26.40          | 0.753          | 0.837            | 27.35            | 0.799          | 0.857          | 27.71   | 0.82             | 0.865          | 103.6          |
| t-SVD                         | 24.13          | 0.764          | 0.835          | 29.703         | 0.893          | 0.931            | 36.03            | 0.950          | 0.977          | 45.04   | 0.969            | 0.992          | 33.47          |
| t-TNN                         | 25.30          | 0.841          | 0.864          | 30.50          | 0.923          | 0.943            | 36.27            | 0.952          | 0.978          | 44.14   | 0.967            | 0.991          | 3.03           |
| $LRTC_{mcp}$<br>$LRTC_{scad}$ | 25.70<br>25.70 | 0.845<br>0.844 | 0.869<br>0.869 | 31.06<br>31.04 | 0.927<br>0.926 | 0.946<br>0.946   | $36.87 \\ 36.84$ | 0.959<br>0.959 | 0.980<br>0.980 | $\begin{array}{c} 45.46 \\ 45.45 \end{array}$ | $0.973 \\ 0.973$ | 0.993<br>0.993 | $3.79 \\ 3.83$ |

#### TABLE II

# **TENSOR RECOVERY**



PERSPECTRAL IMAGES UNDER VARYING SAMPLING RATES. THE UNIT IS  $10^{-4}$  for MSE. ION PERFORMANCES EVALUATION ON HY

| Method                                      |  | 20%                                   |  |  | 40%                                    |  |   | 60%                                       |  |   | 80%                                       |  |   |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| u   | PSNR   | MSE                                   | ERGAS  | PSNR   | MSE                                    | ERGAS  | PSNR  | MSE                                       | ERGAS  | PSNR                                      | MSE                                       | ERGAS  | (5)   |
| SiLRTC<br>HaLRTC<br>FBCP<br>t-SVD<br>t-TNN  | $\begin{array}{r} 41.71 \\ 42.11 \\ 37.09 \\ 41.64 \\ 42.46 \end{array}$ | 4.70<br>4.53<br>14.47<br>5.10<br>3.81 | 30.912<br>29.626<br>52.931<br>31.835<br>28.702 | $\begin{array}{r} 45.46 \\ 45.95 \\ 43.25 \\ 45.52 \\ 46.07 \end{array}$ | $1.95 \\ 1.90 \\ 3.85 \\ 2.12 \\ 1.60$ | 21.524<br>20.556<br>29.318<br>22.142<br>20.135 | $49.14 \\ 49.79 \\ 46.00 \\ 49.42 \\ 49.82$ | 0.827<br>0.801<br>2.225<br>0.886<br>0.667 | 14.412<br>13.514<br>22.344<br>14.685<br>13.272 | 52.86<br>53.67<br>46.67<br>53.49<br>53.61 | 0.354<br>0.342<br>2.011<br>0.365<br>0.290 | 10.341<br>9.660<br>20.688<br>10.157<br>9.515 | 42.21<br>53.11<br>210.18<br>224.21<br>47.29 |
| LRTC <sub>mcp</sub><br>LRTC <sub>scad</sub> | 42.91<br>42.91   | 3.58<br>3.58                          | 27.799<br>27.804                               | 46.75<br>46.76   | 1.51<br>1.51                           | 19.684<br>19.684                               | 50.47<br>50.46                              | 0.665<br>0.666                            | <b>13.293</b><br>13.298                        | 54.11<br>54.11                            | 0.316<br>0.316                            | 9.642<br>9.642                               | 70.95<br>71.14                              |
|   |  |                                       |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
|   |  |                                       |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
|   |  |                                       |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |   |   |  |   |
| 34.0  | -  |                                       |  |  |  |  |   |   |  |   |   | 02.2   |   |



Incomplete tensor Complete tensor



Corrupted tensor Low-rank tensor Sparse tensor

# PERLIMINARIES

**Theorem 0.1 (T-SVD)** Suppose  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3}$ . Then there exists tensors  $\mathcal{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1 \times n_3}, \mathcal{V} \in$  $\mathbb{R}^{n_2 \times n_2 \times n_3}$  and  $\mathcal{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} =$  $\mathcal{U} * \mathcal{S} * \mathcal{V}^*$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  are orthogonal, while S is f-diagonal.

**Definition 0.1 (Tensor nuclear norm)** Let  $\mathcal{A} =$  $\mathcal{U} * \mathcal{S} * \mathcal{V}^*$  be the t-SVD of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the nuclear norm of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as  $\|\mathcal{A}\|_* = \sum_i \mathcal{S}(i, i, 1) = \frac{1}{n_3} \sum_k \overline{\mathcal{S}}(i, i, k).$ 

**Definition 0.2 (SCAD)** For some  $\gamma > 2$  and  $\lambda > 0$ ,



 $\mathrm{TRPCA}_{\mathrm{scad}}$ TRPCA  $\mathrm{TRPCA}_{\mathrm{mcp}}$ RPCA Original image Corrupted image

Fig. 4. Tensor RPCA performance comparison on example images. From top to bottom:  $p_n = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4$ .



Fig. 5. Comparison of PSNR values obtained by RPCA, TRPCA, TRPCA<sub>mcp</sub>, TRPCA<sub>scad</sub> on randomly selected 50 images.

# PROPOSED

The novel tensor sparsity measure is defined as  $\Phi_{\lambda,\gamma}(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} \sum_{k=1}^{n_3} \varphi_{\lambda,\gamma}(\mathcal{A}_{ijk})$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  has t-SVD  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{S} * \mathcal{V}^*$ , we define the  $\gamma$ -norm of  $\mathcal{A}$  as  $\|\mathcal{A}\|_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{n_3} \sum_{i,k} \varphi_{1,\gamma}(\overline{\mathcal{S}}(i,i,k))$ .

#### **TENSOR COMPLETION**

# **TENSOR RPCA**

the SCAD function is given by

$$\varphi_{\lambda,\gamma}^{\text{SCAD}}(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda |t| & \text{if} |t| \leq \lambda, \\ \frac{\gamma \lambda |t| - 0.5(t^2 + \lambda^2)}{\gamma - 1} & \text{if} \lambda < |t| < \gamma \lambda, \\ \frac{\gamma + 1}{2} \lambda^2 & \text{if} |t| > \gamma \lambda. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 0.3 (MCP)** For some  $\gamma > 1$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , the MCP function is given by

$$\varphi_{\lambda,\gamma}^{\text{MCP}}(t) = \begin{cases} \lambda |t| - \frac{t^2}{2\gamma} & \text{if} |t| < \gamma \lambda, \\ \frac{\gamma \lambda^2}{2} & \text{if} |t| \ge \gamma \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Given a partially observed tensor  $\mathcal{O} \in$  $\mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_2 \times n_3}$ ,Based on low rank assumption, tensor completion can be modeled as

 $\min_{\mathcal{V}} \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{X}) \quad \text{s.t. } \mathcal{O}_{\Omega} = \mathcal{X}_{\Omega}.$ 

Use the proposed tensor  $\gamma$ -norm to replace "rank" :

 $\min_{\mathcal{V}} \|\mathcal{X}\|_{\gamma} \quad \text{s.t. } \mathcal{O}_{\Omega} = \mathcal{X}_{\Omega}.$ 

Majorization Minimization:

 $\min_{\mathcal{X}} Q_{\gamma}(\mathcal{X}|\mathcal{X}^{\text{old}}) \quad \text{s.t. } \mathcal{O}_{\Omega} = \mathcal{X}_{\Omega}.$ 

Given a tensor  $\mathcal{X}$ , the goal of robust PCA is to decompose  $\mathcal{X}$  into two parts: low-rank tensor  $\mathcal{L}$ and sparse tensor  $\mathcal{E}$ . This problem can be formulated as

 $\min_{\mathcal{L},\mathcal{E}} \operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{L}) + \|\mathcal{E}\|_0 \quad \text{s.t. } \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{X}.$ 

Apply the proposed novel sparsity measure and tensor  $\gamma$ -norm, we obtain

 $\min_{\mathcal{L},\mathcal{E}} \|\mathcal{L}\|_{\gamma_1} + \Phi_{\lambda,\gamma_2}(\mathcal{E}) \quad \text{s.t. } \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{X}.$ 

Majorization minimization:

 $\min_{\mathcal{L},\mathcal{E}} Q_{\gamma_1}(\mathcal{L}|\mathcal{L}^{\text{old}}) + Q_{\lambda,\gamma_2}(\mathcal{E}|\mathcal{E}^{\text{old}}) \quad \text{s.t. } \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{X}.$