

SEMI-SUPERVISED DEEP LEARNING TECHNIQUES FOR SPECTRUM RECONSTRUCTION

Adriano Simonetto, Pietro Zanuttigh, Vincent Parret, Piergiorgio Sartor, Alexander Gatto adriano.simonetto@phd.unipd.it, zanuttigh.dei.unipd.it {Vincent.Parret,Piergiorgio.Sartor,Alexander.Gatto}@sony.com Affiliations: University of Padova, Department of Information Engineering (DEI) Stuttgart Lab 1 of R&D Center, Sony Europe B.V.



SONY

Abstract

State-of-the-art approaches for the estimation of hyperspectral images (HSI) from RGB data are mostly based on deep learning techniques but due to the lack of training data their performances are limited to uncommon scenarios where a large hyperspectral database is available. In this work we present a family of novel deep learning schemes for hyperspectral data estimation able to work when the hyperspectral information at our disposal is limited. Firstly, we introduce a learning scheme exploiting a physical model based on the backward mapping to the RGB space and total variation regularization that can be trained with a limited amount of HSI images. Then, we propose a novel semi-supervised learning scheme able to work even with just a few pixels labeled with hyperspectral information. Finally, we show that the approach can be extended to a transfer learning scenario. The proposed techniques allow to reach impressive performances while requiring only some HSI images or just a few pixels for the training.

Physical Model

The physical model ensures our output to be consistent with the input RGB. While not a sufficient constraint by itself, it provides a better training.



Semi-supervised Training

- The training techniques combine HSI information and the physical model
- The training is performed alternating the two losses
- The HSI information can be provided in the form of:
 - 1. A small set of HSI images (T_i)
 - 2. Only some sparse pixels with HSI information (T_p) , as shown below





Quantitative Results

Our approaches outperform or reach comparable performance with other fully supervised methods from the literature, which heavily rely on HSI ground truth

Method	HSI GT	MRAERMSE	
		$*10^{2}$	$*10^{2}$
Aesch. et al.	$3 * 10^6$ pixels	3.3	0.74
Yan et al.	99 images	3.0	0.69
Can et al.	99 images	2.2	0.59
Shi et al.	99 images	1.4	0.48
	10 images	2.5	0.75
Ours (T_i)	10 images	1.9	0.61
Ours (T_p)	100 pixels	2.5	0.72
	1'000 pixels	1.9	0.66
	10'000 pixels	1.7	0.55

