\Orchestrating a brighter world **NEC**

REDUCING FALSE POSITIVES IN OBJECT TRACKING WITH SIAMESE NETWORK

Takuya Ogawa¹, Takashi Shibata¹⁺, Shoji Yachida¹, and Toshinori Hosoi¹

1: NEC, +: this author now belongs to NTT

E-mail: takuya_ogawa@nec.com

Introduction: single object tracking

Practical long-term tracking is needed for real-time surveillance

Problems: drift and loss



Goal

• Reduce the occurrence of drift and recover from the loss of the target

Concept of our method

Conventional [1] : Drift to similar object and never re-track the target



Proposed : Recognize the similar objects in advance and keep tracking a true target





Proposed Frameworks

2 frameworks for avoiding drift/loss of the target



FPC = false positive candidate, RP=region proposal

A. Sampling area extension

Online learning of similar objects before approaching

Extend a sampling area for the false positive candidates only

• Similar object = false positive candidate (FPC)

• Able to recognize and learn FPC in advance

Conditions for sampling high quality FPC

• Reliability L_{fpc} near the boundary

 $0.5 \le Lfp_c \le 0.9$

• Low IoU with the target

 $IoU(R_{tr}, Rfp_{c}) < 0.1$

Online learning

- Same as conventional method [1]
 - Feature difference between the target and FPC



\Orchestrating a brighter world

B. Adaptive search based on motion models

Weighting inside a search region by its motion to reduce drifting

Adopt motion models (Stay/Move) Adjust a position reliability W by its motion

$$W = w_{2D} * R(\theta) * \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & c & d \\ 1 & d & d \end{pmatrix} \quad c = \begin{cases} 1 + \beta & (stay) \\ 1 & (move) \end{cases}$$
$$d = \begin{cases} 1 & (stay) \\ \gamma & (move) \end{cases}$$





 $R(\theta)$: Rotation Matrix, w_{2D} : 2D cosine window

• Determine motion constraint value γ by experiment

 $\beta = 1.0, \quad \gamma = 0.3$

Update a reliability L of each candidate in the same way as [1]

Introduce size penalty

$$p_{new} = e^{k * max(\frac{r}{r'}, \frac{r'}{r}) * max(\frac{s}{s'}, \frac{s'}{s})} * W_s$$

• Taking visual reliability α into account the reliability L

$$L = \alpha * p_{neu}$$



B. Adaptive search based on motion models

Extend the search region in case of losing the target

Detect loss by target reliability L_{trg}

 $L_{trg} \leq 0.6$

Extend the search region according to the num. of consecutive loss



$$r_{trg} = (k + \tau * nlo_{ss} * a) * Tba_{se}$$

 τ : an adjusting parameter of the expansion amount n_{loss} : the frame number of the latest consecutive loss a: a target acceleration just before loss

Both frameworks improved robustness and the combination is better

Metric

- Long-term tracking benchmark dataset: UAV123 [2]
 - Long-term tracking dataset includes absence of the target (causes drift and loss)
- One-pass evaluation (OPE)

	A	В	Robustness	Precision
Score improvement	_	_	0.489	0.725
	\checkmark	-	0.570	0.776
By combining proposed 2 framework:	_	\checkmark	0.564	0.758
 Improve 21.7% for robustness and 9.1% for precision 	1	~	0.595	0.791

Speed improvement

•3 times faster (95.7 FPS) than

- a video capture speed (30 FPS)
- Optimization by our adaptive extension of the search region

Method	SiamRPN [5]	DaSiamRPN [1]	gSiam (Proposed)
FPS	169.6	29.1	<u>95.7</u>

Evaluation II: Comparison with exiting methods

Our method improved robustness/precision in all attributes

Dataset variation

• 3	long-term	tracking	benchmarks

Dataset	UAV123[2]	LaSOT [3]	<i>VOT2018-LT[4]</i>
No. of seq.	123	280	35
No. of classes	9	70	11
Min len. of seq.	109	1000	1389
Max len. of seq.	3085	9999	29700
Med. len. of seq.	877	2102	2683
Min size of image	(720,480)	(202,224)	(240,320)
Max size of image	(1280,720)	(1280,720)	(1280,720)
Med. size of image	(1280,720)	(1280,720)	(1280,720)
Absence(No. of seq, ratio)	(33, 7.1%)	(89, 2.6%)	(24, 15.1%)

Evaluation results

 the robustness and precision improved in all attributes

Method (Robustness/Precision)	UAV123[2]	LaSOT [3]	VOT2018-LT [4]
aSiam (Proposed)	0.595	0.418	0.484
gotani (Proposed)	0.791	0.447	0.554
Sigm PDN [5]	0.466	0.349	0.109
Stanken [5]	0.696	0.253	0.113
DaSiamPDN [1]	0.489	0.388	0.251
	<u>0.725</u>	0.291	<u>0.498</u>



The results of attribution evaluation of [2]

We developed a more suitable method for long-term tracking than exiting methods

Zhu et al. "Distractor-aware siamese networks for visual object tracking," M. Mueller et al. "A benchmark and simulator for uav tracking," ECCV2016 Fan et al. "Lasot: A high-quality benchmark for large-scale single object tracking," CVPR2019

9

[4] M. Kristan, "The sixth visual object tracking vot2018 challenge results," ECCV2018

[5] B. Li et al. "High performance visual tracking with siamese region proposal network," CVPR2018 Orchestrating a brighter world



Evaluation III : Comparison with SOTA methods

Our method is effective even compared with SOTA methods

Prerequisites

• Using deeper feature extraction model than Evaluation II (AlexNet -> ResNet-50)

Evaluation results

- Outperformed SOTA methods on all datasets for the robustness
- More effective for dataset with more absences of the target

Method (Robustness/Precision)	UAV123 [2]	LaSOT[3]	VOT2018-LT [4]
aSiamP (Proposed)	0.602	0.501	0.547
golallik (Ploposed)	0.808	0.490	0.606
SiamRPN++ [6]	0.600	0.495	0.424
	0.775	0.493	0.647
SiamMask [7]	0.602	0.467	0.426
	<u>0.775</u>	0.469	<u>0.636</u>



Appendix: Evaluation on short-term tracking dataset

Our method is also effective even on short-term tracking dataset

Evaluated by short-term tracking benchmark dataset OTB2015 [8]

Evaluation result

 the robustness and precision improved in all attributes even on short-term scenes

Т

Method	SiamRPN[5]	DaSiamRPN[1]	gSiam
Robustness/Precision	0.539	0.529	0.628
Kobusiness/1 recision	<u>0.785</u>	0.763	0.846
	SiamMask [7]	<i>SiamRPN</i> ++ <i>[6]</i>	gSiamR
Robustness/Precision	0.634	0.645	0.654
Kobusiness/Frecision	0.833	0.846	0.878



The result of attribution evaluation

Our method is effective in every situation of object tracking

Ablation study

III-B	III-C	Robustness	Precision
_	_	0.529	0.763
\checkmark	_	0.585	0.795
_	\checkmark	0.625	0.828
\checkmark	\checkmark	0.628	0.846

[1] Z. Zhu et al. "Distractor-aware siamese networks for visual object tracking," ECCV2018
 [5] B. Li et al. "High performance visual tracking with siamese region proposal network," CVPR2018
 [6] B. Li et al. "Siamrpn++:Evolution of siamese visual tracking with very deep networks," CVPR2019

11

[7] Q. Wang et al. "Fast online object tracking and segmentation; A unifying approach," CVPR2019 [8] Y. Wu et al. "Object tracking benchmark," TPAMI2015 **Orchestrating a brighter world**



Comparison with other methods

References

[1] Z. Zhu et al. "Distractor-aware siamese networks for visual object tracking," ECCV2018

[2] M. Mueller et al. "A benchmark and simulator for uav tracking," ECCV2016

[3] H. Fan et al. "Lasot: A high-quality benchmark for large-scale single object tracking," CVPR2019

[4] M. Kristan, "The sixth visual object tracking vot2018 challenge results," ECCV2018

[5] B. Li et al. "High performance visual tracking with siamese region proposal network," CVPR2018

[6] B. Li et al. "Siamrpn++:Evolution of siamese visual tracking with very deep networks," CVPR2019

[7] Q. Wang et al. "Fast online object tracking and segmentation: A unifying approach," CVPR2019

[8] Y. Wu et al. "Object tracking benchmark," TPAMI2015