MixTConv: Mixed Temporal Convolutional Kernels for Efficient Action Recognition

Kaiyu Shan, Yongtao Wang, Zhi Tang, Ying Chen and Yangyan Li

Wangxuan Institute of Computer Technology, Peking University Email: {shankyle, wyt, tangzhi}@pku.edu.cn {chenying.ailab, yangyan.lyy}@alibaba-inc.com

January 10, 2020

Shan et. al. (WICT, PKU)

MixTConv

January 10, 2020

Intuition

- Different Temporal Operations
- 2 Related Works
 - Spatiotemporal modeling
 - Efficient operations and modules for temporal modeling
 - Mixed Convolution
- 3 Method
 - MixTConv: Mixed Temporal Convolution
 - Mixed Spatiotemporal Block
- Experiments
 - Datasets
 - Ablation Study
 - Comparison with the State-of-the-Art
- Visualization
- 6 Conclusions

3

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

Different Temporal Operations



Figure: Comparison of different temporal operations. (a) *shift* temporal operation with *fixed kernel weight and kernel size*. (b) *learnable* temporal operation with the *fixed kernel size* of depthwise 1D convolution. (c) *Mixed Temporal Convolution*(MixTConv) with different kernel sizes of depthwise 1D convolution.

3/21

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト



• Different Temporal Operations

- Visualization
- Conclusions

Shan et. al. (WICT, PKU)

-

< 4 P ►



Figure: (a)2D CNN-based methods divide the video into N segments and samples one frame from each segment, then consensus the result by averaging. (b)3D CNN-based methods jointly learn spatiotemporal features in an elegant way.

Shan et. al. (WICT, PKU)

MixTConv

January 10, 2020 5 / 21



Figure: (a)TRN adds temporal fusion after feature extraction, leading to limited improvement of performance. (b) TSM utilizes *shifting operation* which shifts a portion of the channels along the temporal dimension.

6/21

A D F A B F A



Figure: MixConv uses 2D spatial convolution filters of different kernel sizes to extract spatial features of various resolutions, for improving image recognition accuracy.

-

7/21

Method

Outline



- Experiments
 - Datasets
 - Ablation Study
 - Comparison with the State-of-the-Art
- Visualization
- Conclusions

3

MixTConv: Mixed Temporal Convolution



Figure: The pipeline of the proposed video action recognition network Mixed Spatiotemporal Network(*MSTNet*), based on the Mixed Temporal Convolution. "Ks" means kernel size, and "DW" means depthwise.

Shan et. al. (WICT, PKU)

MixTConv

January 10, 2020

MixTConv: Mixed Temporal Convolution

$$\hat{Z}_{i,t}^{m} = \sum_{j} \hat{F}_{t+j}^{i} W_{\frac{km-1}{2}+j}, m = 1, ..., g,$$
(1)

where $j \in \left[-\frac{k_m-1}{2}, \frac{k_m-1}{2}\right]$ and $\hat{Z}_{i,t}^m$ is the value of \hat{Z}^m at the *t*-th frame and *i*-th channel. The final output tensor is a concatenation of all the output tensor $\{\hat{Z}^1, ..., \hat{Z}^g\}$:

$$Z = Concat(\hat{Z}^1, ..., \hat{Z}^g).$$
⁽²⁾

(4) E (4) E (4)

Mixed Spatiotemporal Block



Figure: Comparision for MST Block head and MST Block inner.

• 3 >

э



- Different Temporal Operations
- 2 Related Works
 - Spatiotemporal modeling
 - Efficient operations and modules for temporal modeling
 - Mixed Convolution
- 3 Method
 - MixTConv: Mixed Temporal Convolution
 - Mixed Spatiotemporal Block

Experiments

- Datasets
- Ablation Study
- Comparison with the State-of-the-Art

Visualization

Conclusions

ъ

Datasets

Datasets

Kinetics-400[14] is a large-scale dataset with 400 classes sourced from YouTube, and is one of the most popular action recognition benchmarks. UCF-101[15] is an action recognition dataset of realistic action videos, collected from YouTube, having 101 action categories. Something-Something v1 and v2 [1] are two large-scale video datasets for action recognition.

Jester[13] is a large collection of densely-labeled video clips that show humans performing pre-defined hand gestures in front of a laptop camera or webcam.

13/21

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト …

Comparision of 2D CNN Baseline

Table: Comparisons between the proposed MSTNet and 2D CNN baseline TSN.

Dataset	Model	MixTConv	Top-1	Top-5	Δ Top-1
Kinetics-400	TSN[3] Ours	× ✓	68.8 71.3	88.3 89.5	+2.5
UCF-101	TSN[3] Ours	× ✓	91.5 94.8	99.2 99.6	+3.3
Something v1	TSN[3] Ours	× ✓	20.5 48.1	47.5 77.3	+27.6
Something v2	TSN[3] Ours	× ✓	30.4 61.8	61.0 87.8	+31.4
Jester	TSN[3] Ours	× ✓	83.9 96.9	99.6 99.9	+13.0
			4		

Shan et. al. (WICT, PKU)

January 10, 2020

Ablation Study

Comparision of kernel sizes

Table: Comparisons of different temporal operations and configurations (i.e., the kernel size and the combinations of the filters) on Something-Something v1. "ks" denotes kernel size and * denotes shifting convolution.

Method	Kernel Size	Dilation	Learnable	Top-1	FLOPS
TSN(baseline)[3]	-	-	×	19.7	33G
TSN+Ordinary 1D	3	1	1	41.0	43G
$TSM^{*}[11]$	3*	1	×	45.6	33G
TSN+ks3	3	1	1	45.9	33.13G
TSN+ks5	5	1	1	46.3	33.23G
TSN+ks7	7	1	1	45.8	33.32G
TSN+ks13	1,3	1	1	45.8	33.09G
TSN+ks135	1,3,5	1	1	46.4	33.13G
TSN+ks1357	1,3,5,7	1	1	46.7	33.18G
TSN+ks357	3	1,2,3	1	46.4	33.13G

Shan et. al. (WICT, PKU)

Something-Something v1 and v2

Method	Backbone	Modality	Frames	Params	FLOPs	Something-Something v1		Something-Something v2	
						Val Top-1	Val Top-5	Val Top-1	Val Top-5
TSN[3]ECCV'16	BNIception	RGB	8	10.7M	16G	19.5	-	-	-
TSN(baseline)[3]ECCV'16	ResNet-50	RGB	8	24.3M	33G	19.7	46.6	27.8	57.6
TRN Multiscale[4]ECCV'18	BNInception	RGB	8	18.3M	16G	34.4	-	44.8	77.6
TRN Two-steam[4]ECCV'18	BNInception	RGB+Flow	8+8	36.6M	-	42.0	-	55.5	83.1
I3D[6]CVPR'17	3D ResNet-50	RGB	32×2clips	28.0M	153G×2	41.6	72.2	-	-
NL*+I3D[22]CVPR'18	3D ResNet-50	RGB	32×2clips	35.3M	168G×2	44.4	76.0	-	-
NL*+I3D+GCN[23]ECCV'18	3D ResNet-50+GCN	RGB	32×2clips	62.2M	303G×2	46.1	76.8	-	-
ECO[24]ECCV'18	BNInc*+Res3D18*	RGB	8	47.5M	32G	39.6	-	-	-
ECO[24]ECCV'18	BNInc*+Res3D18*	RGB	16	47.5M	64G	41.4	-	-	-
ECO _{En} Lite[24]ECCV'18	BNInc*+Res3D18*	RGB	92	150M	267G	46.4	-	-	-
TSM[11]ICCV'19	ResNet-50	RGB	8	24.3M	33G	45.6	74.2	58.7^{\dagger}	85.4
TSM[11]ICCV'19	ResNet-50	RGB	16	24.3M	65G	47.2	77.1	61.0^{\dagger}	86.8
Ours:									
MSTNet	ResNet-50	RGB	8	24.3M	33.2G	46.7	75.4	59.5	86.0
MSTNet	ResNet-50	RGB	16	24.3M	65.3G	48.4	78.8	61.8	87.3

*BNInc means BNInception, *Res3D18 means 3D Resnet 18, *NL means Non-Local[22]. [†]Using official released pre-trained weight and testing with one clip and center crop.

Figure: Comparisons with state-of-the-art methods on Something-Something v1 and Something-Something v2.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

-



- Different Temporal Operations
- 2 Related Works
 - Spatiotemporal modeling
 - Efficient operations and modules for temporal modeling
 - Mixed Convolution
- 3 Method
 - MixTConv: Mixed Temporal Convolution
 - Mixed Spatiotemporal Block
- Experiments
 - Datasets
 - Ablation Study
 - Comparison with the State-of-the-Art

Visualization

Conclusions

Shan et. al. (WICT, PKU)

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

2

Visualization



Figure: t-SNE plots of the output layer features preceding the final fully connected layers for (a) TSN, and for MSTNet(b) on Something-Something v1.



- Different Temporal Operations
- 2 Related Works
 - Spatiotemporal modeling
 - Efficient operations and modules for temporal modeling
 - Mixed Convolution
- 3 Method
 - MixTConv: Mixed Temporal Convolution
 - Mixed Spatiotemporal Block
- Experiments
 - Datasets
 - Ablation Study
 - Comparison with the State-of-the-Art
 - Visualization



Shan et. al. (WICT, PKU)

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

2

Conclusions

In this work, we propose a lightweight and plug-and-play operation named Mixed Temporal Convolution (MixTConv) for action recognition, which partitions input channels into groups and performs depthwise 1D convolution with different kernel sizes to capture multi-scale temporal information. It can be flexibly inserted into any 2D CNN backbones to enable temporal modeling with negligible extra computational cost. We further design a Mixed Spatiotemporal Network (MSTNet) for action recognition, by plugging MixTConv into the building block of ResNet-50. Experimental results on Something-Something v1, v2 and Jester benchmarks consistently indicate the superiority of the proposed MSTNet with the MixTConv operation. Additional ablation studies further demonstrate that the designs of the proposed MixTConv operation and MSTNet are effective and reasonable.

References

- **R**. Goyal and et al., "The "something something" video database for learning and evaluating visual common sense," in *ICCV*, 2017.
- A. Karpathy, G. Toderici, and et al., "Large-scale video classification with convolutional neural networks," in *CVPR*, 2014.
- W. Limin, X. Yuanjun, and et al., "Temporal segment networks for action recognition in videos," *TPAMI*, 2018.
- B. Zhou, A. Andonian, and et al., "Temporal relational reasoning in videos," in *ECCV*, 2018.
- C. Feichtenhofer, A. Pinz, and A. Zisserman, "Convolutional two-stream network fusion for video action recognition," in *CVPR*, 2016.
- J. Carreira and A. Zisserman, "Quo vadis, action recognition? A new model and the kinetics dataset," in *CVPR*, 2017.

 Image: D. Tran, L. D. Bourdev, and et al., "Learning spatiotemporal features with 3d convolutional networks," in *ICCV*, 2015, → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → < m → </td>

 Shan et. al. (WICT, PKU)
 MixTConv
 January 10, 2020
 21/21