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Introduction

- BERT is a commonly used pretrained language model (PLM) that obtains state-of-the-art results on 11 different natural language processing (NLP) tasks.
- However, BERT contains a large number of parameters and requires vast numbers of computational resources. Concretely, $\text{BERT}_{\text{BASE}}$ has 110 million parameters while $\text{BERT}_{\text{LARGE}}$ has 340 million.
- Knowledge distillation (KD) is a promising compression method and has achieved great success in compressing BERT. KD follows a student-teacher framework where the light-weight student network learns from the teacher.
- However, the student networks in previous KD studies are manually designed. Researchers have tried to compressing BERT into MLP, BiLSTM and network with less Transformer layers. These manually designed students are not optimal since they either still contain redundant parameters or have weak representation ability.
- Motivated by the above observations, we propose to **automatically search for a compact student network for compressing BERT using neural architecture search (NAS)**.
Illustration of NAS-KD
NAS Module

Encoding Layer
- LSTM
- Identity
- 4, 8 head attention
- Standard convolution
- Depthwise-separable convolution
- None

Aggregation Layer
- Max pooling
- Average pooling
- Dynamic routing
- Self-attention pooling

\[
f^{(i,j)}(x_i) = \sum_{\psi' \in \Psi} \frac{\exp(\alpha^{(i,j)}_{\psi'})}{\sum_{\psi' \in \Psi} \exp(\alpha^{(i,j)}_{\psi'})} \psi(x_i)
\]

\[
\min_{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_{val}(w^*(\alpha), \alpha)
\]
\[
\text{s.t. } w^*(\alpha) = \arg\min_w \mathcal{L}_{train}(w, \alpha)
\]
KD Module

\[ \mathcal{L}_{ss} = - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{C} (\hat{y}_{i,j}^t \cdot \log(\hat{y}_{i,j}^s / t)) \]

\[ \mathcal{L}_{sh} = - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{C} (y_{i,j} \cdot \log \hat{y}_{i,j}^s) \]

\[ \mathcal{L}_{ii} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M^s-1} \left\| \frac{h_{i,j}^s}{||h_{i,j}^s||_2} - \frac{h_{i,M^s,j}^t}{||h_{i,M^s,j}^t||_2} \right\|_2^2 \]

\[ \mathcal{L} = \alpha \mathcal{L}_{ss} + (1 - \alpha) \mathcal{L}_{sh} + \beta \mathcal{L}_{ii} \]
Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Par. (w/o Emb)</th>
<th>Par. (total)</th>
<th>Layers</th>
<th>SST-2</th>
<th>MRPC</th>
<th>QQP</th>
<th>MNLI-m</th>
<th>MNLI-mm</th>
<th>QNLI</th>
<th>RTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BERT_BASE (Google)</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>66.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERT_BASE (Teacher)</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>82.8</td>
<td>90.3</td>
<td>66.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distilled BiLSTM_SOFT</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>72.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TinyBERT (w/o DA)</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>82.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERT_SMALL DistilBERT</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>84.8</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERT_3-FT</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>55.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERT_3-KD</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>67.3</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>56.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERT_3-PKD</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>76.7</td>
<td>76.3</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>58.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS-KD_3</td>
<td>\textbf{9.4±1.5}</td>
<td>\textbf{33.2±1.5}</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86.9</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>75.5</td>
<td>83.9</td>
<td>\textbf{58.9}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERT_6-FT</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>90.7</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>80.4</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERT_6-KD</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>70.1</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>79.8</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>64.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BERT_6-PKD</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>92.0</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>89.0</td>
<td>65.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS-KD_6</td>
<td>\textbf{18.6±2.9}</td>
<td>\textbf{42.4±2.9}</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>\textbf{92.2}</td>
<td>\textbf{86.3}</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>\textbf{65.9}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NAS-KD\_6 outperforms all the listed baseline models on SST-2, MRPC and RTE with a 2.3x smaller model size (without embedding layer). NAS-KD\_6 uses 4.6 times fewer parameters than BERT\_BASE, and achieves over 99% performance on SST-2, RTE and over 97% performance on all the tasks, suggesting that the latent knowledge in teacher network has been fully learned by the searched student, and the student cell has identical capacity with Transformer although the model size is reduced.
Conclusions

We proposed a method named NAS-KD to automatically adjust the architecture of student network in the process of knowledge distillation for compressing BERT. Experimental results on 7 NLP tasks demonstrate our proposed method considerably reduces the model size without much performance sacrifice. For future work, we plan to design more appropriate search space and improve the distillation strategy to encourage the student to fully learn the latent knowledge in BERT. Also, it is attractive to extend our technique to other domains like computer vision beyond NLP.
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