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## Introduction

Main task:

- Converts the mathematical expression description in an image into a LaTeX sequence

Math expressions:

- Exhibit complicated 2-D layout(CONTAIN, ABOVE, BELOW, etc.)
- Variant scales: caused by symbol position or input
- Symbols similar in expression: $\alpha$ and $a, \pi$ and $\Pi$
- Complexity of solution for mathematical expression increases dramatically with the number of math symbols


## Introduction

## Motivation:

- Encoder-decoder model has been applied to previous works: WAP ${ }^{1}$
- Trained end2end: no need to define heuristic grammar rules
- Residual encoder: combine high-level and low-level features to handle scale variance
- Decoder with attention mechanism: focus on the most relevant part of math presentations
- Convolutional based: for speed up
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## Network architecture



- Input: grey scale image: $X \in R^{W * H}$
- Output: Latex sequence $Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{T}\right\}$
- Task: $\theta^{*}=\operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \sum_{D} \log p(Y \mid X)$ where $\theta$ denotes the parameters of the model


## Network architecture

Residual encoder:

- Input: grey scale image: $X \in R^{W * H}$
- Output: feature map $\in R^{W^{\prime} * H^{\prime} * D}$
- Rearranged output: a sequence of feature vectors $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{W^{\prime} * H^{\prime}}\right\}$ where $v_{i} \in R^{D}$
- Rearrangement may break out spatial dependency: attention can focus on the most relevant part


## Network architecture

Residual encoder:

- Can be viewed as combination of low and high level feature, which is both beneficial to modeling 2-D relationships and preserving detailed information
- Easy to optimize and keep the capacity at the same time
- Consists of six residual blocks as shown
- A 1* ${ }^{*}$ convolution to match the dimensions(solid line) and feature map sizes(dotted line)



## Network architecture

Convolutional decoder:

- Input: feature vectors $V=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{W^{\prime} * H^{\prime}}\right\}$
- Output: Latex sequence $Y=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{T}\right\}$
- Entirely convolutional: both the size of image and Latex string are not fixed


## Network architecture

Latex embedding and position embedding:

- Latex embedding: $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{n}\right\}$, where $w_{i} \in R^{D}$, same setting as 2
- Position embedding(important for convolutional decoder): $P=\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{N}\right\}$, where $p_{i} \in R^{D}$, same setting as ${ }^{3}$
- Final representation:

$$
G=\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{N}\right\}=\left\{w_{1}+p_{1}, w_{2}+p_{2}, \ldots, w_{N}+p_{N}\right\}
$$
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## Network architecture

Convolutional decoder:

- Stack multiple(L) basic blocks
- Output of the l-th block: $h_{l}=\left\{h_{1}^{l}, h_{2}^{l}, \ldots, h_{N}^{l}\right\}$
- Residual connection between blocks: $h^{l}=\operatorname{conv}\left(h^{l-1}\right)+h^{l-1}$
- Final output probability:
$p\left(y_{i+1} \mid y_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}, V\right)=\operatorname{softmax}\left(W h_{i}^{L}+b\right) \in R^{K}$ where W and b are weight and bias of the linear mapping layer, K is the size of vocabulary
- Minimize: $L=-\frac{1}{|D|} \sum_{D} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log p\left(y_{i} \mid y_{<i}, X\right)$


## Network architecture

Basic decoder block:

- Consists of a 1-dimensional convolution and a subsequent gated linear unit(GLU)
- 1-dimensional convolution: capture the dependencies among Latex symbols, with weight $W \in R^{2 D * k D}$ and bias $b \in R^{2 D}$
- Input: k continuous elements in a Latex string, output: 2D-dimensional vector $M \in R^{2 D}=[A ; B]$ where $A \in R^{D}, B \in R^{D}$
- GLU: to select the important part: $G L U(M)=A \otimes \sigma(B)$ where $\otimes$ is the point-wise multiplication and $\sigma$ is sigmoid function


## Network architecture

Attention mechanism:

- To focus on the most relevant part
- Content vector: $c_{i}^{l}=\sum_{j=1}^{W^{\prime} * H^{\prime}} a_{i j}^{l} v_{j}$, here $c_{i}^{l}$ is the content vector of the l-th decoder layer corresponding to the i-th state
- Attention score: $a_{i j}^{l}=\frac{\exp \left(d_{i}^{l}, v_{j}\right)}{\sum_{t=1}^{W^{\prime} H^{I}} \exp \left(d_{i}^{l}, v_{t}\right)}$
- Decoder state summary: $d_{i}^{l}=W_{d}^{l} h_{i}^{l}+b_{d}^{l}+g_{i}$, which combines the current layer output and representation of the previous target element $g_{i}$
- $c_{i}^{l}+h_{i}^{l}$ as the input of the next layer


## Network architecture

Attention mechanism:

- Applied to each decoder layer
- Alleviate the problem of lacking coverage
- Coverage: the overall alignment information that indicates whether a local region of the feature vector has been translated
- Under/Over parsing: some feature vectors are not parsed/ generated multiple times
- Previous attention is accumulated: achieve the tracking of past alignment information
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## Experiments

## TABLE I: Experimental results on IM2LATEX-100K.

| Method | BLEU | time $(s /$ batch $)$ | Edit Distance | Exact Match |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WYGIWYS [18] | 87.73 | 0.129 | 87.60 | 79.88 |
| WAP [1] | 88.21 | 0.135 | 89.58 | 82.08 |
| ConvMath | 88.33 | 0.083 | 90.80 | 83.41 |

- Dataset: IM2LATEX-100K, contains Latex expressions from over 60000 papers from arxiv
- Training/validation/test set: 65995/8181/8301 expressions
- Symbol dictionary: 583, embedding size: 512
- Evaluation: BLEU score, column-wise edit distance, exact match accuracy, the elapsed time to finish a forward inference for a batch(batch size 10)


## Experiments

TABLE II: Contributions of different parts in the proposed network.

| Method | BLEU |
| :--- | :---: |
| WYGIWYS [18] | 87.73 |
| WAP [1] | 88.21 |
| ConvMath_SimpleEncoder | 80.72 |
| ConvMath(3 decoder layers) | 84.81 |
| ConvMath(5 decoder layers) | 87.61 |
| ConvMath(7 decoder layers) | 88.33 |
| ConvMath(9 decoder layers) | 88.04 |

- Residual encoder: ConvMath_simple Encoder and ConvMath(7 decoder layers): combine high-level and low-level features
- The performance with regard to the depth of decoder: increases first(large receptive field) and drops after(risk of overfitting)


## Case study

| Image | $M_{g}=M_{c_{1}} M_{c_{2}} M_{c_{3}} M_{c_{4}} M_{c_{5}} M_{r=\infty}=1$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ground truth | $\begin{aligned} & M_{-}\{g\}=M_{-}\left\{c_{-}\{1\}\right\} M_{-}\left\{c_{-}\{2\}\right\} M_{-}\left\{c_{-}\{3\}\right\} M_{-} \\ & \left\{c_{-}\{4\}\right\} M_{-}\left\{c_{-}\{5\}\right\} M_{-}\{r=\text { infty }\}=1 \end{aligned}$ |
| WYGIWYS | $\begin{aligned} & M_{-}\{g\}=M_{-}\left\{c_{-}\{1\}\right\} M_{-}\left\{c_{-}\{2\}\right\} M_{-}\left\{c_{-}\{3\}\right\} M_{-} \\ & \left\{c_{-}\{2\}\right\} M_{-}\left\{c_{-}\{5\}\right\} M_{-}\{r=\text { infty }\}=1 \backslash q u a d \backslash \text { aqual } \end{aligned}$ |
| ConvMath | $\begin{aligned} & M_{-}\{g\}=M_{-}\left\{c_{-}\{1\}\right\} M_{-}\left\{c_{-}\{2\}\right\} M_{-}\left\{c_{-}\{3\}\right\} M_{-} \\ & \left\{c_{-}\{4\}\right\} M_{-}\left\{c_{-}\{5\}\right\} M_{-}\{r=\text { infty }\}=1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Image | $V(z, \bar{z})=e^{-q \Phi(z)} e^{i \alpha \cdot H} e^{i\left(P_{R} \cdot X_{R}-P_{L} \cdot X_{L}\right)}$ |
| Ground truth | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{z}, \backslash \operatorname{bar}\{\mathrm{z}\})=\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}\{-\mathrm{q} \backslash \operatorname{Phi}(\mathrm{z})\} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}\{\mathrm{i} \backslash \text { alpha } \backslash c d o t \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{e} \\ & \wedge\left\{i\left(\mathrm{P}_{-}\{\mathrm{R}\} \backslash \operatorname{cdot} X_{-}\{R\}-P_{-}\{\mathrm{L}\} \backslash \operatorname{cdot} X_{-}\{\mathrm{L}\}\right)\right\} \backslash ; \end{aligned}$ |
| WYGIWYS | $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{z}, \backslash \operatorname{bar}\{\mathrm{z}\})=\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}\{-\mathrm{q} \backslash \operatorname{Phi}(\mathrm{z})\} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}\{\mathrm{i} \backslash$ alpha $\backslash \operatorname{cdot} \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{e}$ $\wedge\left\{i\left(P \_\{R\} \backslash\right.\right.$ rightarrow $\left.\left.X_{-}\{R\}-P_{\_}\{L\} X_{\_}\{L\}\right)\right\} \backslash$, \hspace $\{1 \mathrm{~cm}\}$ |
| ConvMath | $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{z}, \backslash \operatorname{bar}\{\mathrm{z}\})=\mathrm{e}^{\wedge}\{-\mathrm{q} \backslash \operatorname{Phi}(\mathrm{z})\} \mathrm{e}^{\wedge}\{\mathrm{i} \backslash$ alpha $\backslash \operatorname{cdot} \mathrm{H}\} \mathrm{e}$ <br> $\wedge\left\{i\left(P_{\_}\{R\} \backslash \operatorname{cdot} X_{-}\{R\}-P_{\_}\{L\} \backslash \operatorname{cdot} X_{\_}\{L\}\right)\right\} \backslash ;$ |
| Image | $R\left(e_{1}\right)=\epsilon^{-J_{67}+J_{89}}, \quad R\left(e_{2}\right)=\epsilon^{J_{45}-J_{89}}$. |
| Ground truth | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{e}_{-}\{1\}\right)=\text { lepsilon^\{-J_\{67\}+J_\{89\}\}, \quad R } \\ & \left(\mathrm{e}_{-}\{2\}\right)=\text { epsilon^\{J_\{45\}-J_\{89\}\}.} \end{aligned}$ |
| WYGIWYS | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{e}_{-}\{1\}\right)=\backslash \text { epsilon } \wedge\left\{-\mathrm{J}_{1}\{0\}+\mathrm{J}^{\prime}\{8\}\right\}, \backslash \text { quad } \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{e}_{-}\right. \\ & \{2\})=\backslash \text { epsilon } \wedge\left\{J_{-}\{3\}-J_{-}\{8\}\right\} . \end{aligned}$ |
| ConvMath | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{e}_{-}\{1\}\right)=\text { lepsilon ^ }\left\{-\mathrm{J}^{\prime}\{0\}+\mathrm{J}_{-}\{89\}\right\}, \text { qquad }_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{e} \\ & -\{2\})=\text { epssilon^\{I_\{4\}\}-J_\{89\}\}.} \end{aligned}$ |

- Errors: highlighted in red
- Over parsing rarely happens
- Under parsing is common(the third example)
- Future direction: strengthen the ability to deal with under parsing problems
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## Conclusion

Contribution:

- Propose a convolution based model which achieves SOTA results and much higher speed
- Residual encoder to combine high-level and low-level features
- Combine multi-layer attention mechanism with the decoder, which solves the problem of lacking coverage

Future directions:

- Evaluate the network on other datasets like handwritten mathematical expression datasets.
- Apply the network to other tasks such as image caption generation, musical score recognition et al.


# Thanks for listening! 
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