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## Problem Definition

- Given the features vector $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t}}$ at time t as input, the goal is to predict the label of the next action, observing only data before the activity starts.


TRANSITION POINT

## ST Multimodal Dataset Modalities

- Mobile phone
- Video
$>$ Camera Resolution: 720×1280
>Frame rate: 29.95 fps
- Bluecoin:
- Audio
>Sampling rate: 32 KHz
- Acceleration, Gyroscope, Pressure, Temperature, Magnetic Field
>Sampling rate: 52.63 Hz


## ST Multimodal Dataset Activities

- Activities: Desk, Reading, Sitting, Stairs, Standing, Typing, Walking.



## ST Multimodal Dataset - \# of sequences

| Activity | \# of sequences |
| :---: | :---: |
| Desk | 2026 |
| Reading | 824 |
| Typing | 824 |
| Walking | 1353 |
| Sitting | 405 |
| Stairs | 420 |
| Standing | $\mathbf{4 0 5}$ |

- "Desk" activity is more represented than "Stairs", "Sitting" or "Standing" activities because "Desk" is the past of "Typing", "Reading" and "Sitting" and the future of "Typing", "Reading" and "Standing".
- The collected dataset is balanced, i.e. the same number of sequences for each transition was acquired.

|  | Desk | Reading | Typing | Walking | Stairs | Sitting | Standing |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Desk |  |  |  |  | 418 | 411 |  |
| Reading | 411 |  | 418 |  |  | 419 |  |
| Typing | 418 | 411 |  | 428 | 419 |  |  |
| Walking |  |  | 428 |  |  |  |  |
| Stairs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sitting | 419 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Cut- \# of samples

- Time: 1,2160 sec
- Sensor: 64 samples

- Video: 36 frames
- Audio: 32768 samples

The dataset contains 4874 transitions.

## Pipeline



## Baseline-SVM

|  | Classification |  | Anticipation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Linear SVM | Rbf SVM | Linear SVM | Rbf SVM |
| Video | 66.70\% | 70.47\% | 61.35\% | 66.49\% |
| Audio | 31.62\% | 35.49\% | 32.52\% | 34.26\% |
| Sensors | 46.27\% | 58.44\% | 43.89\% | 53.18\% |
| Video and Sensors | 69.37\% | 72.89\% | 63.89\% | 70.57\% |
| Video and Audio | 67.27\% | 70.72\% | 61.52\% | 67.58\% |
| Audio and Sensors | 46.29\% | 58.83\% | 43.03\% | 54.04\% |
| Video Audio and Sensors | 69.55\% | 73.75\% | 64.06\% | 70.29\% |

## Baseline-K-NN

|  | Classification |  |  |  |  | Anticipation |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{K}=1$ | $K=3$ | $K=5$ | K=7 | $\mathrm{K}=9$ | $K=1$ | $K=3$ | $K=5$ | $K=7$ | $K=9$ |
| Video | 60.02\% | 59.81\% | 59.51\% | 59.53\% | 59.61\% | 61.78\% | 61.31\% | 60.57\% | 60.45\% | 59.73\% |
| Audio | 29.75\% | 30.92\% | 31.54\% | 32.30\% | 32.15\% | 30.94\% | 31.56\% | 32.44\% | 32.42\% | 32.79\% |
| Sensors | 52.44\% | 52.58\% | 54.16\% | 53.42\% | 53.81\% | 47.82\% | 48.28\% | 48.71\% | 49.30\% | 49.82\% |
| Video and Sensors | 62.95\% | 62.66\% | 62.40\% | 61.72\% | 61.58\% | 64.18\% | 63.15\% | 63.09\% | 62.32\% | 61.87\% |
| Video and Audio | 60.33\% | 60.00\% | 59.96\% | 59.76\% | 59.61\% | 62.05\% | 61.72\% | 60.72\% | 60.08\% | 60.29\% |
| Audio and Sensors | 54.49\% | 55.12\% | 55.57\% | 55.27\% | 54.63\% | 51.29\% | 52.11\% | 52.29\% | 52.19\% | 51.02\% |
| Video Audio and Sensors | 63.24\% | 62.79\% | 62.42\% | 61.97\% | 61.76\% | 64.12\% | 63.61\% | 63.14\% | 62.27\% | 62.15\% |

## Triplet Network-SVM

| Classification |  | Anticipation |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseline |  | Baseline |  | Triplet |  |
| Linear Kernel | RBF | Linear Kernel | RBF | Linear Kernel | RBF |
| 69.55\% | 73.75\% | 64.06\% | 70.29\% | 57.52\% | 63.32\% |

## Triplet Network-K-NN

|  | Classification |  | Prediction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K | Baseline | Baseline | Triplet |
| K=1 | $63.24 \%$ | $64.12 \%$ | $\underline{64.65 \%}$ |
| K=3 | $62.79 \%$ | $63.61 \%$ | $\underline{64.73 \%}$ |
| K=7 | $62.42 \%$ | $63.14 \%$ | $\underline{64.14 \%}$ |
| K=9 | $61.97 \%$ | $62.27 \%$ | $\underline{64.55 \%}$ |

## Conclusions

-Our results suggest that multi-modality improves both classification and prediction.

- Considered activities can be anticipated with an accuracy close to the one obtained when the signals are fully observed (i.e., classification task).
- Future works could be devoted to collect bigger labelled multimodal datasets considering different environments and activities, as well as to model attention mechanisms among the different modalities
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