Assortative-Constrained Stochastic Block Models

Daniel Gribel¹, Thibaut Vidal¹, Michel Gendreau²

2020/12/08

¹ Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
 ² CIRRELT and Polytechnique Montréal, Canada

Introduction

- Stochastic Block Models (SBM) [Holland et al., 1983], [Nowicki and Snijders, 2001] are random graph models, in which fitting the parameters to empirical graphs is a prominent way of discovering communities.
- Typical network structures:
 - *Assortative* networks: connections within communities are more frequent than in between communities.
 - *Disassortative* networks: connections within communities are less frequent than in between communities.

Introduction

- SBMs are agnostic to assortativity, and can indifferently model assortative and disassortative structures.
 - ⇒ Especially in sparse graphs (or with lightly assortative structures), non-assortative solutions with a better likelihood may substitute the assortative solutions which were originally sought.



Figure 1: The three best solutions in an example case.

Key contributions

- 1. We introduce a SBM variant which incorporates assortativity constraints to represent prior user knowledge;
- 2. We propose an efficient solution approach based on local optimization and interior-point algorithms for this model;
- 3. Through extensive computational experiments, we identify the regimes in which it contributes to improve community detection practice.

Degree-corrected SBM (DC-SBM)

- In its most fundamental form, the DC-SBM considers N nodes allocated to K groups.
- The number of edges between a pair of nodes (*i*, *j*) depends only on the groups to which the nodes belong and their degrees.

DC-SBM log-likelihood

$$\log P(A|\Omega, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{rs}^{K} \sum_{ij}^{N} \left(A_{ij} \ln(\omega_{rs}) - \frac{k_i k_j}{2m} \omega_{rs} \right) z_{ir} z_{js}, \quad (1)$$

where *A* is the observed adjacency matrix, k_i is the degree of node *i*, and *m* is the total number of edges. Variable *Z* represents the memberships, where $z_{ir} = 1$ indicates that node *i* is assigned to group *r*, and Ω is a symmetric $K \times K$ edge probability matrix.

Strong assortativity

 All diagonal terms of Ω are greater or equal than all off-diagonal terms:

$$\omega_{qq} \ge \omega_{rs} \quad \forall q, r, s \in \{1, \dots, K\}, r \neq s.$$
(2)

Assortative-Constrained DC-SBM (AC-DC-SBM)

AC-DC-SBM log-likelihood

$$\max_{\Omega,Z,\lambda} \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{rs}^{K} \sum_{ij}^{N} \left(A_{ij} \log(\omega_{rs}) - \frac{k_i k_j}{2m} \omega_{rs} \right) z_{ir} z_{js}$$
(3a)
s.t. $\omega_{qq} \ge \lambda \quad \forall q \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ (3b)
 $\omega_{rs} \le \lambda \quad \forall r, s \in \{1, \dots, K\}, r \ne s$ (3c)
 $\omega_{rs} \ge 0 \quad \forall r, s \in \{1, \dots, K\},$ (3d)

where λ represents a continuous variable acting as a threshold.

Solution approach

We propose a maximum-likelihood iterative algorithm to solve (3a – 3d), in which we combine two techniques:

 (i) an incremental move evaluation approach, using the log-likelihood of the unconstrained problem to filter relocation candidates, and keeping this solution if it satisfies the assortativity constraints;

Assortative-Constrained DC-SBM (AC-DC-SBM)

Solution approach

(ii) an interior point solver for the convex subproblem(4a – 4d), only used if the relocation candidate is not feasible:

$$\max_{\Omega,\lambda} \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{rs}^{K} \left(m_{rs} \log(\omega_{rs}) - T_{rs} \omega_{rs} \right)$$
(4a)

s.t.
$$\omega_{qq} \ge \lambda \quad \forall q \in \{1, \dots, K\}$$
 (4b)

$$\omega_{rs} \leq \lambda \quad \forall r, s \in \{1, \dots, K\}, r \neq s$$
 (4c)

$$\omega_{rs} \geq 0 \quad \forall r, s \in \{1, \dots, K\},\tag{4d}$$

where m_{rs} represents the number of edges between communities r and s according to the fixed partition and $T_{rs} = (\sum_{t}^{K} m_{rt} \sum_{t}^{K} m_{st})/2m.$

Empirical Studies

Synthetic Networks



Figure 2: Performance of DC-SBM and AC-DC-SBM on networks generated from general SBMs. The results are ordered by median NMI.

Synthetic Networks



Figure 3: Distribution of the number of assortative communities found by AC-DC-SBM and DC-SBM on networks with K = 4 groups.

Empirical Studies

Brain Cortex Networks



Figure 4: The best among 100 network partitions found by different models in the cats cortex network.

Conclusions

- In this work, we show that the AC-DC-SBM significantly outperforms unconstrained community detection methods in lightly assortative graphs.
- In these circumstances, the classic SBM has a strong tendency to converge towards non-assortative solutions, while the modularity maximization model does not generalize well to graphs in which the number of edges between groups widely varies.
- For research perspectives, we recommend to investigate different algorithmic paradigms to improve the solution of constrained SBMs, and to pursue the study of the AC-DC-SBM in a wider range of application contexts.

 The algorithms of this paper were implemented in Julia (version 1.0.5) and the source code is available at: http://github.com/danielgribel/AssortativeSBM

References I

- Amini, A. A., Levina, E., et al. (2018).
 On semidefinite relaxations for the block model.
 The Annals of Statistics, 46(1):149–179.
- Decelle, A., Krzakala, F., Moore, C., and Zdeborová, L. (2011).

Inference and phase transitions in the detection of modules in sparse networks.

Physical Review Letters, 107(6):065701.

Holland, P. W., Laskey, K. B., and Leinhardt, S. (1983).
 Stochastic blockmodels: First steps.
 Social Networks, 5(2):109–137.

 Nowicki, K. and Snijders, T. A. B. (2001).
 Estimation and prediction for stochastic blockstructures.
 Journal of the American Statistical Association, 96(455):1077–1087.