### Localisation in WiFi using Novel Deep Architectures



Peizheng Li<sup>\*+</sup>, Han Cui<sup>\*</sup>, Aftab Khan<sup>+</sup>, Usman Raza<sup>+</sup>, Robert Piechocki <sup>\*</sup>, Angela Doufexi<sup>\*</sup>, Tim Farnham<sup>+</sup>

\* University of Bristol+ Toshiba Research Europe Ltd.



Peizheng Li et al.

**ICPR 2020** 



1/12

# Outline

- Introduction
- Experiments
- NN architectures
- Results
- Conclusions



Peizheng Li et al.

Aim: Indoor Localisation based on commodity WiFi equipment.

**Type**: Active localisation.

Media: Channel state information (CSI) from standard channel sounding.

Scheme: Neural network, supervised learning

**Difficulties:** Feature extraction and network architectures under complex multipath effect.



Figure 1: Indication of CSI tuples within a transmission time frame



Peizheng Li et al.

**ICPR 2020** 

3/12

## **Experiments**

Experimental area: Indoor office (6.5m \* 2.5m)

CSI rate: 500Hz

Label collection: Opti-track system composed of cameras

Access point: 3

Target tag: curvilinear motions

Dataset: is collected when the target object was carried by a walking human subject to mimic a severe shadowing environment and was continuously moved around in the test area.



Figure 2: Experiment setup



Figure 3: Real office environment



Peizheng Li et al.

**ICPR 2020** 

# **NN** architectures

1. Shallow neural network (SNN)



Figure 4: Process diagram of signal processing and training



Figure 5: Neural network architecture of SNN



Peizheng Li et al.

**ICPR 2020** 

5/12

# **NN** architectures

#### 2. Convolutional neural network (CNN)



Figure 6: Process diagram of signal processing and training



Figure 7: Neural network architecture of CNN



Peizheng Li et al.

**ICPR 2020** 

6/12

# **NN** architectures

3. Long-short term memory (LSTM)

#### Data processing is same as in CNN.





Peizheng Li et al.

**ICPR 2020** 

7/12

### Results

1. Performance Evaluation



Figure 9: (a) Inference time comparison (1000 samples); (b) Accuracy comparison between the SNN, CNN and LSTM models under different AP combinations; (c) Accuracy comparison between the two CNN models under different AP combinations

Table 1: Comparison of Localisation mean errors for different methods (cross-validation)

		Farnham [20]	SNN	CNN	LSTM
University of BRISTOL	Mean(m)	0.75	0.5441	0.5663	0.5982
Peizheng Li <i>et al.</i>	ICPR 2020				

### Results

#### 2. Special Use-case: Non-Constant Velocity Scenario

The motion characteristics contained a pattern sequence of linear-fast, stationary and linear-slow for two minutes.

**ICPR 2020** 



Figure 10: The localisation error CDF of the NN models in the special scenario. The LSTM model is similar to the CNN in accuracy and better than the SNN



```
Peizheng Li et al.
```

Table 2: Localisation mean errors in the special movement scenarios

	SNN	CNN	LSTM
Mean error (m)	0.8559	0.6266	0.6421

### Results

#### 3. Data Arrangement Ablation Study



Figure 11: Ablation study for various training data (blue) and feature (red) sizes

Table 3: Features cropping ratio and network parameters relationship

Cropping Ratio	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
Network Params	100%	97.38%	94.77%	89.54%	86.92%	84.31%



Peizheng Li et al.

**ICPR 2020** 

- Three feasible models for WiFi indoor localisation.
- The process of handcrafted localisation features extraction in SNN is time consuming.
- CNN and LSTM can realise localisation via CSI raw data directly, but the LSTM does not present extra advantages on increase localisation accuracy.
- In a nonconstant speed motion, the CNN shows better generalisation ability.



### References

#### Thanks for your listening



Peizheng Li et al.

**ICPR 2020** 

