

BG-Net: Boundary-Guided Network for Lung Segmentation on Clinical CT Images

Rui Xu^{*†‡}, Yi Wang^{§†‡}, Tiantian Liu^{§†‡}, Xinchen Ye^{*†‡}, Lin Lin^{*†‡}, Yen-Wei Chen[¶], Shoji Kido^{||} and Noriyuki Tomiyama^{||} *DUT-RU International School of Information Science & Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, China [†]DUT-RU Co-Research Center of Advanced ICT for Active Life, Dalian, China [‡]Key Laboratory for Ubiquitous Network and Service Software of Liaoning Province, Dalian, China [§]College of Software, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, China [¶]College of Information Science and Engineering, Ritsumeikan University, Shiga, Japan ^{||}Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

Background

- Quick or early diagnosis of lung diseases is crucial in clinics, especially for COVID-19 at present.
- Computer-aided diagnosis system of lung CT images.



Lung CT Image



Ground Truth



Background

- Quick or early diagnosis of lung diseases is crucial in clinics, especially for COVID-19 at present.
- Computer-aided diagnosis system of lung CT images.
- Disconnection of adjacent tips or trachea.
- Lung boundary can provide visual cues that can help radiologist to identify the lung regions

Boundary information





Ground Truth

Segmentation Result



The Proposed Boundary-Guided Network



BG-Net: Boundary-Guided Network for Lung Segmentation on Clinical CT Images

ICPR-2020

The Proposed Boundary-Guided Network



BG-Net: Boundary-Guided Network for Lung Segmentation on Clinical CT Images

ICPR-2020

Datasets and Evaluation Metrics

| Datasets | Osaka | StructSeg | HUG | VESSEL12 | COVID-19- CT-Seg |
|----------|-------|-----------|-----|----------|---------------------|
| Total | 217 | 50 | 108 | 20 | 20 |

Dice coefficient (DSC)

$$DSC = \frac{2|P \cap G|}{|P| + |G|}$$

Average surface distance (ASD)

Hausdorff distance (HD)

$$\begin{split} ASD(Ps,Gs) &= mean(A\vec{S}D(Ps,Gs),A\vec{S}D(Gs,Ps)) \\ A\vec{S}D(Ps,Gs) &= \frac{1}{|P|} \sum_{p \in Ps} \min_{g \in Gs} d(p,g) \\ HD(Ps,Gs) &= max(\vec{HD}(Ps,Gs),\vec{HD}(Gs,Ps)) \\ \vec{HD}(Ps,Gs) &= \max(\vec{HD}(Ps,Gs),\vec{HD}(Gs,Ps)) \end{split}$$

ICPR-2020

Result : Ablation Study

| Dataset | Method | SB | BB | BAGM | DSC | | ASD | | | HD | | | |
|-----------|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | | | | Whole | Left | Right | Whole | Left | Right | Whole | Left | Right |
| | Method-A | \checkmark | | | 0.9824 | 0.9772 | 0.9846 | 0.7939 | 0.9321 | 0.7419 | 4.1590 | 4.9200 | 3.9795 |
| Osaka | Method-B | \checkmark | \checkmark | | 0.9844 | 0.9814 | 0.9852 | 0.6518 | 0.8204 | 0.6396 | 3.0413 | 4.3248 | 3.0182 |
| | Method-C | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | 0.9858 | 0.9825 | 0.9865 | 0.5878 | 0.6230 | 0.5728 | 2.7715 | 3.5899 | 2.7256 |
| | Method-A | \checkmark | | | 0.9619 | 0.9596 | 0.9621 | 0.4468 | 0.5350 | 0.3764 | 2.0039 | 3.0486 | 1.5731 |
| StructSeg | Method-B | \checkmark | \checkmark | | 0.9638 | 0.9598 | 0.9654 | 0.3613 | 0.4913 | 0.3500 | 1.4366 | 1.9454 | 1.2243 |
| | Method-C | \checkmark | \checkmark | \checkmark | 0.9647 | 0.9612 | 0.9666 | 0.3437 | 0.3684 | 0.3414 | 1.3952 | 1.8112 | 1.1828 |





Result : Comparison with the state-of-the-art methods

| Dataset | Method | DSC | ASD | HD | |
|-----------|-----------------|--------|--------|---------|--|
| | Harrison et al. | 0.9790 | 0.3610 | - | |
| HUC | Jeovane et al. | 0.9867 | - | - | |
| nug | LaLonde et al. | 0.8892 | - | 37.1710 | |
| | Ours | 0.9889 | 0.1304 | 0.6655 | |
| | Jeovane et al. | 0.9919 | - | - | |
| VECCEI 12 | Soliman et al. | 0.9900 | | | |
| VESSEL12 | Ours | 0.9945 | 0.4981 | 1.4041 | |



ICPR-2020

Result : Comparison with the state-of-the-art methods

| Dataset | Method | DSC | | ASD | | HD | | |
|-----------------|-----------|--------|--------|---------|------------|---------|--------------|--|
| | | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Right | |
| | HED | 0.8064 | 0.8207 | 11.2448 | 9.9281 | 64.1505 | 60.8332 | |
| COVID-19-CT-Seg | FCN | 0.9233 | 0.9298 | 10.1031 | 9.7388 | 54.8521 | 53.5381 | |
| 8 | U-Net | 0.9481 | 0.9501 | 4.7416 | 4.5995 | 46.7094 | 43.7777 | |
| | Ma et al. | 0.9220 | 0.9550 | - | 8 . | | 3 - 0 | |
| | Ours | 0.9624 | 0.9671 | 2.8405 | 1.4677 | 15.8190 | 12.5875 | |

COVID-19-CT-Seg



(a) lung CT image

(b) ground truth



(c) prediction result

ICPR-2020

- Even some complex boundaries can be segmented by the proposed method.
- The proposed method is less affected by the pathology of the lungs.

Conclusion

- We propose a boundary-guided network (BG-Net), which exploits the information of lung regions and the corresponding boundaries for accurate lung segmentation on clinical CT images.
- We design the boundary attention guidance modules(BAGMs) that can efficiently guide the BG-Net to learn more powerful lung segmentation features.

ICPR-2020

• We evaluate the proposed method on a private dataset and four public datasets including a COVID-19 dataset. Experimental results show that our proposed method can segment lungs more accurately and outperform several other leading methods.



Thanks For Your Attention

