

# Dependently Coupled Principal Component Analysis for Bivariate Inversion Problems

Navdeep Dahiya,<sup>1</sup> Yifei Fan,<sup>1</sup> Samuel Bignardi,<sup>1</sup> Romeil Sandhu,<sup>2</sup> and Anthony Yezzi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology <sup>2</sup>Computer Science Department, Stony Brook University



#### Contributions

- Propose Dependently Coupled Principal Component Analysis (DC-PCA), to leverage PCA b/w paired datasets in a dependently coupled manner, which is optimal with respect to approximation error during training, applicable to broad class of inversion problems.
  - Often, data contains correlated variables where one is observable to some extent from measurements but other is not observable.
  - Existing methods, CCA/PLSR [1,2], maximize correlation/covariance symmetrically b/w observable & unobservable parts.
  - We generate a dependently coupled paired basis by relaxing orthogonality constraints in decomposing unreliable unobservable measurements.

[1] J. A. Wegelin et al., "A survey of partial least squares (pls) methods, with emphasis on the two-block case," University of Washington, Tech. Rep, 2000.
[2] H.Hotelling, "Relations between two sets of variates." Biometrika, vol. 28, no. 3/4, pp. 321–377, 1936.

#### General Inversion Problems

- Critical concept of *raw* data *D* 
  - Entities quantified by various high dimensional measurements (X) and statistics.
  - Dimensions might not be fixed or even finite.
- Computing measurements X directly from D is difficult and expensive.
- Goal of inversion problem is to compute high-dimensional measurement X of raw data D by leveraging low-dimensional representation A (such as PCA expansion coefficients).
- It may be possible to compute A from raw data thus obtaining high-dimensional measurements X via the inverse PCA transform of A.



## Independent (Uncoupled PCA)

• Standard PCA applied independently to paired sets (X and Y), yields subspaces which minimize the mean squared error (MSE):

$$\varepsilon(A, U, B, V) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| \mathbf{x}_n - \sum_{\substack{l=1\\U\mathbf{a}_n}}^{L} \mathbf{u}_l \mathbf{a}_{ln} \right\|^2 + \left\| \mathbf{y}_n - \sum_{\substack{l=1\\V\mathbf{b}_n}}^{L} \mathbf{v}_l \mathbf{b}_{ln} \right\|^2$$

- The MSE is minimized when we choose U and Vas eigenvectors corresponding to largest eigenvalues of XX<sup>T</sup> and YY<sup>T</sup> respectively.
- The optimal coefficients of expansion are orthogonal projection of data onto respective bases *U* and *V*.
- Uand V fit data independently without capturing correlations.
- If Y is completely unobservable, then we simply cannot use basis V.

# Joint (Symmetrically-Coupled PCA)

• If we allow a single set of expansion coefficients, s.t. the approximations of X and Y must use same set of coefficients then MSE can be written as:

$$\varepsilon(A, U, V) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} x_n \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} - \sum_{l=1}^{L} \begin{bmatrix} u_l \\ v_l \end{bmatrix} a_{ln} \right\|^2$$

- The MSE is minimized when we choose U and V as eigenvectors corresponding to largest eigenvalues of  $\begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix}^T$ .
- This joint PCA model captures the correlations between the two datasets.
- If Y is completely unobservable during fitting, we get the benefit of correlation, but we don't fit the observable data X optimally.
- Nor does this pairing maximize the correlation b/w the low-dimensional representations of X and Y (as does PLSR)



## Dependently Coupled PCA (DC-PCA)

• If again allow a single set of expansion coefficients, A, but impose the standard PCA basis U, together with coefficients A obtained by minimizing MSE for X:

$$arepsilon_X(A,U) = rac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^N \|\mathbf{x}_n - U\mathbf{a}_n\|^2$$

• Now, we may seek a "paired basis" V (not necessarily orthonormal) that minimizes the error term below for this choice of U and A:

$$\varepsilon_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \|\mathbf{y}_n - \mathbf{V}\mathbf{a}_n^*\|^2$$

• The paired basis V that we seek is given as:

$$V = YX^T U\Lambda_X$$

•  $\Lambda_X$  is the diagonal matrix with the largest eigenvalues of  $XX^T$ .



## Dependently Coupled PCA (DC-PCA)

- Given a set of expansion coefficients which estimate the observable variable X, we may obtain an optimal prediction (according to our training) for an unobservable variable Y by applying the same coefficients to the matching basis elements in V (not necessarily orthonormal).
- This combination of traditional PCA for *X*, and unidirectional correlation analysis for *Y* is called Dependently Coupled PCA for the paired data sets.
- The basis V for Y is dependent on the basis U for X, while basis U is completely independent. In this sense the coupling is purely unidirectional.

### Specialty of DC-PCA for Inversion Problems

- PLS methods (PLSR/CCA) only applicable to prediction problems.
- "Projection of a projection" issue with PLSR in inversion problems: evolution of **a** is driven by the PCA plane.
  - Fit **a** with PCA basis but invert with PLSR basis: only  $\tilde{x}_{score}$  (projected from **a**) contributes to the inversion.
  - Fit and invert with PLSR basis: representation  $\hat{x}_{score}$  (with projection **a** on the PCA plane) does not match the PLSR basis for inversion.



#### DC-PCA vs PLSR: Synthetic Experiment

- X represents 2D cross-section shape and Y a paired 3D teacup shape.
- Low dimensional shape inversion is applied to ideal noiseless silhouette using both PCA & PLSR basis for *X*.
- Both methods extract similar shape from raw image data (left column images).
- However, the estimated 3D surface (Y estimate) from PLSR exhibits higher mismatch against the true shape.



9

#### **DC-PCA:** Practical Application

- Objective is to segment the Left Ventricle (LV), Right Ventricle (RV), and Epicardium (EPI) from Cardiac CT imagery using 3D shape models built from manual training segmentations [1].
- LV has good contrast, clear boundaries and hence is easier to segment (observable) compared to RV/EPI (unobservable).
- Estimate RV (yellow) & EPI (red) using DC-PCA based on (fitted) LV (blue) shape coefficients.
- DC-PCA does better in estimating unobservable anatomies (less overshoot in RV compared to PLSR/CCA/Joint PCA).

[1] N. Dahiya, A. Yezzi, M. Piccinelli, E. Garcia, "Integrated 3D Anatomical Model for Automatic Segmentation in Cardiac CT Imagery", *Computer Methods in Biomechanics & Biomedical Imagery*, 2019



(a) Joint PCA (symmetrically paired) DICE scores: RV = 0.61, EPI = 0.87





(b) CCA DICE scores: RV = 0.40 EPI = 0.89



(c) PLSR DICE scores: RV = 0.65 EPI = 0.91



(d) DC-PCA DICE scores: RV = 0.75 EPI = 0.93

#### Conclusions

- Presented a novel method of leveraging PCA between paired datasets, in a unidirectional, dependently-coupled manner.
- Optimal with respect to approximation error during training.
- Specially customized for broad class of inversion problems with better suitability than existing methods.